Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): OR-115-404 (con't) \$43,903

		n County Weed Control BLM, Bob Budesa		
				<u> 12002</u>
		541-618-2287		
		bbudesa@or.blm.gov		
8.	Project Location (attach pro	oject area map)		
	a. 4 th Field Watershed	Name and HUC #(if known):		
	b. 5 th Field Watershed	Name and HUC #(if known):		
	c. Legal Location:			
	Township $32 - 36$ S.	Range $3E-3W$	Section(s) Primary	road systems
	Township			
	Township	Range		
	Township			
	d. BLM District		M Resource Area est Service District	
			No	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: The goal of this project is to reduce, and where possible eliminate, noxious weed species (and any unwanted vegetation), which are displacing native vegetation. By coordinating with other entities (County, schools, cities, state), a more complete and more socially acceptable job of weed control can be accomplished. By funding this system through various sources, a more universal approach to weed control will be realized.

May 2003

Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) By use of the Waipuna hot foam treatment system, both annual and perennial weeds can be reduced, and in some cases eliminated. By use of the hot foam treatment system, no herbicides are used, and therefore no residue or adverse effects of weed treatment are anticipated. No special licensing is required. No Pesticide Use Proposals or Pesticide Application Records are required. Treatment can occur, regardless of weather, 12 months out of the year. There is absolutely no residue, therefore normal work or activities may resume immediately following treatment. By treating adjacent private lands (on which noxious weeds exist), we are preventing weeds from spreading onto public lands.

11.	Coor	dinati	on of this p	project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?
	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	If yes, then describe.
12.	How	does p	proposed p	project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
		Imp	roves maint	renance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
	\boxtimes	Imp	lements stev	wardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
		Res	tores and im	aproves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
	\boxtimes	Res	tores water o	quality. [Sec. 2(b)]
13.	Proje	ct Typ	De (check one)) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
	×	Road	Maintenanc	e [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
		Road	Decommissi	ion/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
		Other	Infrastructu	re Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
				Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] ☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
	\boxtimes	Water	rshed Restor	ration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
	\boxtimes	Fish F	Habitat Resto	pration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
		Reesta	ablish Nativo	e Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
		Other 1	Project Type	e (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:
14.	Meası	ure of	Project Ac	ccomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]
	a.	Total A	Acres:	200-300 ac. b. Total Miles:
	c.]	No. St	ructures:	d. Estimated People Reached (for environmenta
				education projects): 100's
	e	No. of	Laborer Da	ays:
	f (Other ((specify):	

May 2003 2

Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

15.	Duration of Project a	and Estimated	Completion Date	[Sec. 203(b)(2)]:	year-long
------------	------------------------------	---------------	------------------------	-------------------	-----------

16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable) Any and all species that are detrimentally effected by noxious weeds

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

By treating weeds on federal, private, state, and county lands, more multiple-use oriented activities may occur, and more cost-effective weed treatment (lower tax burden) will be realized. Wildlife habitats are restored and maintained, the potential for spread of weeds from private to public (and vice-versa) is reduced or eliminated, and a more cohesive attitude towards common goals is achieved.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities? By controlling noxious weeds on all lands (public and private), we reduce the spread and encroachment of noxious weeds onto federal lands. By reducing costs of weeds on federal lands, taxpayers save money.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

It has been estimated that noxious weeds spread across federal lands at a rate of 2,300 acres per day, displacing wildlife habitat, destroying once healthy ecosystems, creating unusable conditions for man and wildlife alike. Almost every activity and resource on federal lands is contributing time, funds, and other resources to controlling noxious weeds.

	_						
20.	Status of Project Planning						
	a. NEPA Complete:		\boxtimes	Yes	□ No		
	b. If No, give est. date of completion	n:					
	c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation (Yes	⊠ No	□ Not Applicable	
	d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation	•		Yes	⊠ No		
	e. Survey & Manage Complete:	1		Yes	■ No	**	
	f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:			Yes	■ No	□ Not Applicable	
	g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Per	mit Obtained:		Yes	□ No	Not Applicable ■	
	h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:			Yes	□ No	■ Not Applicable	
	i. Project Design(s) Completed:			Yes	□ No	11	
* D	OSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon	Dept. of Fish and	l Wildli	fe, CO	E = Army	Corps of Engineers, SHPO =	
	e Historic Preservation Officer	•					
21.	Proposed Method(s) of Accomplish	ment					
	□ Contract		Fede	ral W	orkforce		
	□ County Workforce		Volunteers				
	□ Other (specify):						
22.	Will the Project Generate Mercha □ Yes □ No	ntable Materi	als? (Sec. 20	04(e)(3))		

June 07, 2001 3

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

1.	. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$	148,509
Э.	. Is this a multi-year funding request? \(\text{Yes} \)	□ No If yes, then display by fiscal year
	c. FY02 Request: \$	f. FY05 Request: \$ 41,156
	d. FY03 Request: \$ 63,450	g. FY06 Request: \$
	e. FY04 Request: \$ <u>43,903</u>	

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
24. Tield Work & Site Surveys	\$5,000			\$5,000
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	Ψ2,000			ψ2,000
20. TVD111 & Sec. / DS11 Consultation	\$1,500			\$1,500
26. Permit Acquisition	ψ1, 2 0 0			ψ1,000
_				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				¢1.500
28. Contract r reparation	\$1,500			\$1,500
29. Contract Administration	Ψ1,500			\$1,500
	\$1,500			\$1,500
30. Contract Cost				
31. Workforce Cost		¢10.402		Ø10.40 2
31. Workforce Cost		\$18,402		\$18,402
32. Materials & Supplies		\$21,843		\$21843
		ŕ		
33. Monitoring				
24 01	\$2,000			\$2,000
34. Other				
Equipment mounting & installation	41.7 00	0.40.5.15		0.51.51.5
35. Project Subtotal	\$11,500	\$40,245		\$51,745
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per		\$3,658		\$3,658
year for multiple year projects) 37. Total Cost Estimate	\$11,500	Ø 42 002		055 402
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$11,300	\$43,903		\$55,403

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

June 07, 2001

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
 - Project coordinator will be required to take photos of representative treatment sites for several consecutive years in order to determine effectiveness of treatment method.
- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Photographs taken before and after, as well as monitoring data to determine re-establishment of native species, will help determine whether or not the value and products produced on public lands have been positively effected.
- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount:	\$2,000	

June 07, 2001 5